UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ſ	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
•	10/532,102	04/21/2005	Poul Torben Nielsen	PATRADE	3935	
	7590 04/19/2007 James C Wray Suite 300			EXAMINER		
				WOODALL, NICHOLAS W		
	1493 Chain Bri McLean, VA 22			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3733		
_						
	SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
	3 MO	NTHS	04/19/2007	PAP	PER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/532,102	NIELSEN, POUL TORBEN			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	·	Nicholas Woodall	3733			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
	• •	/ IC CET TO EVDIDE 6.1	AONTHUS OF THEFTY (20) FAVO			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS INSTRUCTION OF THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAY BE AVAILABLE OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MONTH OF THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI, , cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
·		action is non-final.	•			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application		•			
	 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•	;			
	Claim(s) 1.4.12 and 13 is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,3 and 5-11</u> is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	• .			
Applicati	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
•	The specification is objected to by the Examiner. DI ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 April 2005</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		* *			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) 💢	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.S.C. 8	\$ 119(a)-(d) or (f)			
	2)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
,-	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
	application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment		_				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) 🔯 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		nformal Patent Application			
	No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/22/2005</u> .	6) 🔲 Other:				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/532,102

Art Unit: 3733

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1, 4, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. In claim 1 lines 17-18, the claim reads, "a measuring unit including a actuating rod, where a main part having preferably conical shape...", The examiner is unclear if the conical shape is a required structural limitation of the invention. The examiner recommends the claim read, "a measuring unit including a actuating rod, wherein the main part of the actuating rod has a conical shape, ...".
- 4. In claim 4 line 3, the claim reads, "which can be one or more of the following...". The examiner is unclear if the materials listed are a required structural limitation of the invention. The examiner recommends the claim read, "such as a metal alloy, a plastic material, a plastic composite, and/or ceramic material."
- 5. A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then

Application/Control Number: 10/532,102

Art Unit: 3733

narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 13 recites the broad recitation 45 to 85 mm, and the claim also recites 50 to 70 mm, which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Claim Objections

6. Claim 13 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 13 reads, "Adaptor according to claim 10, ...". The examiner is uncertain if the claim is supposed to depend from claim 10 or be a separate independent claim. The examiner recommends the claim read as follows, "Measuring instrument according to claim 10, wherein the adaptor is characterized ...". The examiner will treat the claim as depending from claim 10 for examination purposes. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wilson (U.S. Patent 4,987,904).

Application/Control Number: 10/532,102

Art Unit: 3733

Regarding claim 12, Wilson discloses an adaptor (22) that is characterized in that the adaptor is exchangeably connected with the measuring unit of a measuring instrument and is capable of being used with an instrument as described in claim 1 of the application.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 10. Claims 4 and 13 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. Claims 2, 3, and 5-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892 for cited references the examiner felt were relevant to the application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas Woodall whose telephone number is 571-272-5204. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 8:00 to 5:30 EST...

Application/Control Number: 10/532,102

Art Unit: 3733

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NWW

EDUARDO C. POBERT